IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 21ST JUNE 2007 / 31ST JYAISHTA 1929

OP.No. 5170 of 2002(M)

PETITIONER:

DEVARAJAN N., S/O.N.NANU,
PULIYULLATHIL HOUSE, KURICHIKKARA,P.O.CHAMPAD,
KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.A.SHAJI
SRI.M.A.ASIF

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. ZONAL MANAGER, LIFE INSURANCE
CORPORATION OF INDIA, ZONAL OFFICE 102,ANNASALAI,
CHENNAI-600 002.

2. THE SENIOR DIVISIONAL MANAGER,
(DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY), LIFE INSURANCE
CORPORATION OF INDIA, KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SRI.E.SUBRAMANI

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 21/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON C.M.P. NO. 9170/2002 IN O.P NO. 5170/2002

CLOSED

21.06.2007 SD/- KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE DT. 14.12.1996 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE EXPLANATION DT. 11.01.1997 SUBMITTED THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE FINAL ORDER DT. 19.05.98 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL PETITION DT. 13.06.98 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 29.10.1998.

EXT.P6: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DT. 16.10.2001 IN O.P. NO. 22799/98 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.

EXT.P7: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 23.01.2002 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

/True Copy/

P.A to Judge.

KURIAN JOSEPH , J

==========

O.P. NO. 5170 OF 2002

==========

Dated this the 21st day of June, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The original petition is filed with the following prayers:

i) issue a writ of certiorari quashing the originals of Ext.P1, P3 and P7.

ii) issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ order or direction directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue as an agent of the L.I.C. with all consequential benefits.

iii) issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ order or direction directing the respondents to allow the future renewal commission on the L.I.C Policies canvassed by the petitioner.

2. It is submitted that during the pendency of writ petition, the petitioner has been acquitted by judgment dated 07.06.2007 in Crl.R.P. No.3093/2003. It is also submitted that even the person convicted was given the renewal commission. Be that as it may, with reference to the above, it is for the petitioner to approach the 1st respondent along with the copy of the order referred to above, in which case, appropriate action without discriminating the petitioner, shall be taken withing another three months.

The original petition is disposed of accordingly.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

rv